UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/506,288	02/17/2000	Jin-Su Park	P51671RE	7560		
7590 10/11/2007 Robert E. Bushnell and Law Firm			EXAM	EXAMINER		
1522 K Street, N.W. Suite 300 Washington, DC 20005-1202		ISSING, GREGORY C				
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3662			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			10/11/2007	DADED		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/506,288	PARK, JIN-SU		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Gregory C. Issing	3662		

		3002	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 September 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on t this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ng replies: (1) an amendment, a ce of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	er than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ng date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shoset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	5.07(f). In which the petition under 37 CFR 1. In which the petition under 37 CFR 1. In sion and the corresponding amount In order the period for reply original.	136(a) and the appropria t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offic	te extension fee ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on 30 July 2007. A brief in date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or an appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply 	y extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismiss	sal of the
AMENDMENTS	must be med within the time per		41.07 (a).
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NC		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allown-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) rejected Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	before or on the date of filing a N sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a 🕡
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (F13. ☐ Other: See attached sheet.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		Gregory C. Issing Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3662	

Art Unit: 3662

- 1. The petition filed under 37 C.F.R. 1.181 on 9/27/07 asking for Supervisory Review of the Examiner's Advisory Action mailed 8/24/07, has been delegated by the Group Director to the Examiner of record in this application to be treated as a request for reconsideration. The reason for this delegation is that the points raised in the petition are agreed with and the relief requested will be granted in full.
- 2. The Petition filed 9/27/07 is treated as a request for consideration to the Examiner in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.181(c).
- 3. As noted by the applicants, 37 C.F.R. 1.173 requires that "(f)or any claim changed by the amendment paper, a parenthetical expression 'amended,' 'twice amended,' etc should follow the claim number." Since no claims were amended in the amendment paper filed 7/30/07 (see Applicants' remarks page 34 under the heading "Amendment of the Claims"), there was no requirement for the use of status indicators of claims 22, 25-28, 30-36, 38-43, 45-54, 57 and 59. Thus, in accordance with the applicants' Relief Requested, the Examiner's statement regarding "the status of the claims is improperly provided . . . are missing" is withdrawn.
- 4. The time period for response continues to run in accordance with the previously set time period and is not extended by this response.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory C. Issing whose telephone number is (571)-272-6973.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 6:00 AM- 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Tarcza can be reached on (571)-272-6979. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/506,288

Art Unit: 3662

Page 3

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gregory C. Issing Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3662

gci